UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

MICHAEL GARRISON,	
	Case No. 1:07-cv-642
Plaintiff,	и с 1 10:
Ve	Hon. Gordon J. Quist
VS.	
DEB DUTCHER, et al.,	
Defendants.	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff has filed a § 1983 civil rights action against defendants. This matter is now before the court on plaintiff's second motion for a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction (docket no. 87). "A preliminary injunction is an extraordinary remedy which should be granted only if the movant carries his or her burden of proving that the circumstances clearly demand it." *Overstreet v. Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government*, 305 F.3d 566, 573 (6th Cir. 2002). In reviewing requests for injunctive relief, the court considers (1) whether the movant has shown a strong or substantial likelihood or probability of success on the merits; (2) whether the movant would suffer irreparable injury without the injunction; (3) whether the preliminary injunction will cause substantial harm to others; and (4) whether the public interest would be served by issuance of the injunction. *See Rock & Roll Hall of Fame v. Gentile Productions*, 134 F.3d 749, 753 (6th Cir. 1998); *McPherson v. Mich. High School Athletic Ass'n*, 119 F.3d 453, 459 (6th Cir. 1997). The plaintiff bears the burden of persuading the court that the factors weigh in favor of granting a preliminary injunction. *Granny Goose Foods, Inc. v Brotherhood of Teamsters and Auto Truck Drivers Local No. 70 of Alameda County*, 415 U.S. 432, 441 (1974).

In the present case, it is unnecessary for the court to address the merits of plaintiff's

claims for injunctive relief. The amended complaint alleges actions taken by the staff at the Boyer

Road Correctional Facility in Carson City, Michigan. In the present motion, plaintiff asks that this

court enjoin defendant Debra Dutcher "from further violating his First Amendment rights by

prohibiting her from destroying or interfering with his incoming mail including any pen pal lists."

See docket no. 87. Plaintiff has been released on parole. See docket nos. 95 and 96. Plaintiff's

release from incarceration renders his claims for injunctive relief against Ms. Dutcher moot. See

Henderson v. Martin, 73 Fed. Appx. 115, 117 (6th Cir. 2003) (prisoner's claim for injunctive relief

against prison officials became moot when prisoner was transferred from the prison of which he

complained to a different facility); Kensu v. Haigh, 87 F.3d 172, 175 (6th Cir. 1996) (prisoner's

claims for declaratory and injunctive relief for prison staff's improper examination of his legal mail

found moot because he was no longer confined at the facility that searched his mail). Accordingly,

I respectfully recommend that plaintiff's motion be **DENIED** as moot.

Dated: March 12, 2010

/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr. HUGH W. BRENNEMAN, JR.

United States Magistrate Judge

ANY OBJECTIONS to this Report and Recommendation must be served and filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen (14) days after service of the report. All objections and responses to objections are governed by W.D. Mich. LCivR 72.3(b). Failure to serve and file written objections

within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Thomas v. Arn, 474

U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

¹ According to the Michigan Department of Corrections Offender Tracking Information System (OTIS), plaintiff was paroled on February 9, 2010. See www.michigan.gov/corrections (reference offender

search for Michael Garrison, No. 237608).

2